



Improving Academic and Professional
Education Capacity in Serbia
in the area of Safety & Security
586410-EPP-1-2017-1- RS-EPPKA2-CBHJP

MEETING MINUTES

Unique Identifier	MNTS07/2019
Document Type	Minutes
Revision	1
Effective Date	28 Jan 2020
Reference Number	605019



Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union

Meeting Name: Working Group Meeting			
Date:	Time:	Venue:	Meeting No.:
28/01/2020	11:00 – 14:30	Educons University, Serbia	2
Attendance Register			
Name & Surname	Section/Department/Area/Responsibility	Initials	Present (P) / Apologies (A) / Absent (X)
Miladin Nešić	University of Criminalistic Investigation and Police Studies, Serbia	MN	P
Saša Mijalković	University of Criminalistic Investigation and Police Studies, Serbia	SM	P
Anđela Pavlović	University of Criminalistic Investigation and Police Studies, Serbia	AP	P
Petar Stanojević	University of Belgrade, Serbia	PS	P
Dragan Domazet	Belgrade Metropolitan University, Serbia	DD	P
Bojana Trebinjac	Belgrade Metropolitan University, Serbia	BT	P
Olga Stanković	Belgrade Metropolitan University, Serbia	OS	P
Ivan Vulić	University of Defence in Belgrade, Serbia	IV	P
Goran Šimić	University of Defence in Belgrade, Serbia	GŠ	P
Mirjana Radovanović	Educons University, Serbia	MR	P
Ljubinko Jovanović	Educons University, Serbia	LJ	P
Samed Karović	Educons University, Serbia	SK	P
Siniša Domazet	Educons University, Serbia	SD	P
Bojana Vasić	Educons University, Serbia	BV	P
Zorana Brljak	Educons University, Serbia	ZB	P
Marija Gačić	R-tech KG, Kragujevac, Serbia	MG	P
Aleksandar Jovanović	Steinbeis University Berlin, Germany	AJ	P

	Working group meeting – Serbian Partners and SHB Germany	Action Due Date
1.	<p>OPENING AND WELCOME</p> <p>Coordinator Prof. dr Ljubinko Jovanović welcomed Partners and introduced all that main goal of this meeting is to discuss new developments on the Project, important for Serbian Partners and for a whole Consortia.</p>	
2.	<p>DISCUSSION</p> <p>Prof. Ljubinko Jovanović (coordinating person) and Prof. Mirjana Radovanović (Project manager for UESK), as hosts, underlined main objectives of this meeting: to get full consensus from partners about three important topics: PA, Budget and WDT. It was explained that there are two agendas for this meeting. One prepared by Coordinator (on indicated documents) and the new one (with 14 topics) from prof. Jovanović, SHB Germany. All participants are informed on content of both Agendas.</p> <p>Prof. Ljubinko Jovanović added that there are not a lot of differences between two agendas, yet, he suggests to work on priorities: acceptance of PA, Budget and WDT, since it is obvious that there are no significant objections on these documents. As for other topics, suggested by SHB Germany, they will be discussed at next SC meeting.</p> <p>Prof. Aleksandar Jovanović said they will accept PA, Budget and Project plan with extension only if all other Partners accept the new agenda he proposed.</p> <p>Biggest concern, expressed by participants, was suggestion to establish Coordinating body (with 3 members), initially suggested by R-tech KG, Kragujevac, Serbia. Proposed Coordinating body would consist of representatives of 3 partners: Coordinator, SHB Germany and R-tech KG, Kragujevac, Serbia.</p> <p>Representative of Belgrade Metropolitan University said he doesn't understand the roll of new Coordinating Body and what does that mean, because Project already has Executive committee. He is against of this proposal, because he considers it unnecessary, since there is already a Steering and Executive committee.</p> <p>Representative of University of Defense agreed with that and said that they are willing to do everything in their power to continue the Project, but will no longer agree to blackmail, even at the cost of failing the Project. Representative of University of Defense stated he wants to know what is opinion of SHB Germany on PA, WDT and Budget, which are most important documents. Representative of University of Defense stated that he disagrees that their representative is not in that proposed coordinating body, therefore, they will not agree with that proposal.</p> <p>Prof. Radovanović stated that since now, financial management was done by 2 partners: Coordinator and R-tech KG, Kragujevac, Serbia. She said so far there are no objections to the work of the colleagues from R-tech KG and that they have cooperated really well, therefore, she does not see clear purpose of introducing SHB Germany in this.</p>	

	Working group meeting – Serbian Partners and SHB Germany	Action Due Date
	<p>A finance representative from Educons (Zorana Brljak) insisted on explaining the role of SHB Germany in financial management. Professor Jovanović from SHB Germany replied that he was not present at the meeting at which the proposal was presented, but that he thought that the new Coordinating body should serve as a liaison between the Coordinator and the Partners.</p> <p>Representative of R-tech KG, Kragujevac, Serbia, who proposed establishment of Coordinating body was not present at the meeting. He appointed representative, Marija Gačić. She stated she cannot explain, because she has no knowledge on the topic.</p> <p>Prof. Ljubinko Jovanović suggested to remove discussion on Coordinating body from the Agenda, since Partners got no clear explanation on this change and stated they are against this change. All participants agreed, topic removed from the Agenda for SC to follow.</p> <p>Representative SHB thanked for simplifying the goals and said they will vote YES for all documents if other Partners accept their proposal to use GOPP as project management tool. SHB representative explained that it is very important to have everything in one place and then all changes on WTD or budget will be clear and visible. Representative of University of Defense asked who is the host of GOPP and where date is stored. He proposed for host to be public. Voting on this issue is added to the Agenda for the SC to follow.</p> <p>Representative of University of Criminal Investigation and Police Studies underlined that meeting should of project managers should take place every month (as predicted). All participants agreed.</p> <p>Representative of Belgrade Metropolitan University said that he had intervened several times that there was not a single offer that would fit their institution in cybersecurity. He pointed out the problem that their institution had a sufficient budget for travel but did not have enough training courses to train their lecturers in this important security topic. In response, Professor Jovanović, on behalf of SHB, stated that they would offer a cybersecurity course. Having in mind that 1 course (Complex System Theory), based on request by UESK, is removed from the list of courses to be prepared by SHB Berlin, organization of course in cybersecurity should be treated as suitable and welcomed replacement which does not require any Budget change. SHB Berlin and Belgrade Metropolitan University agreed to implement this activity together, details to be fixed as soon as possible.</p>	

28.01.2020.

Prof. dr Ljubinko Jovanović